



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,236	07/12/2001	Edward O. Clapper	INTL-0628-US (P12052)	2118	
7:	590 06/05/2002		•		
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 KATY FWY, STE. 100			EXAMINER		
			TANG, SON M		
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2632	2632 DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

		>z				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/904,236	CLAPPER, EDWARD O.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Son M Tang	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	March 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19 and 21-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a discount of					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action for a list of the list of the prior action for a list of the li	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	visional application has been rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	2 prising shaot 50 0.0.0. 33 120	- GIIGIOT 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 11, 21, 5-7, 17-19 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Komatsu** [U.S. Pat. 5,646,616].

As to claims 1: Komatsu disclose a method comprising, a positioning a plurality of wireless tags (6) around a facility (see Fig. 1), providing a sensor (met by an antenna 60 combines with section 72) associated with a user to sense the tags (6) to determine the position of the user in the facility (as shown in Fig. 1-3 and col. 3, lines 15-25), and obtaining information about the route and direction of travel of a user through the facility (as cited in col. 2, lines 63-68 and col. 3, lines 37-40).

As to claim 11: Komatsu discloses an article comprising a medium (IC card 91) storing instructions that enable a processor-based system (met by a host computer) (col. 2, line 48), to receive information from a plurality of wireless tags (6) distributed about a facility, and analyze information form the tags to determine the location of a user, and obtain information about the route and direction of travel of the user through the facility (as shown in Fig. 1-3 and col. 2, lines 46-68 and col. 3, lines 29-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/904,236 Page 3

Art Unit: 2632

As to claim 21: Komatsu discloses a system comprising, a plurality of wireless tags (6) a wireless sensor associated with a user (60, 72), a processor (Fig. 3) associatable with a user, and a storage (73) coupled to said processor to determine the user's position based on information from said tags, said processor tracks the direction and movement of said user (as shown in Fig. 1-3 and col. 2, lines 46-68 and col. 3, lines 29-56 and col. 4, lines 1-30).

As to claims 5-7 and 9: Komatsu further discloses a plurality of sensors associated with the user, each sensor on a shopping cart and to sense the identify information from each of a plurality wireless tags to determine the position of the user in the facility (as shown in Fig. 1-3 and col. 3, lines 15-40).

As to claim 8: Komatsu further discloses wherein the information from said wireless tags (6) to a server (met by a host computer, col. 2, line 48), since the host computer is control the entire picking system (as cited in col. 2, lines 46-68).

As to claim 18: Refer to claim 8 above.

As to claims 17, 19, 24-26: Refer to claims 5-7 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,236 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

4. Claims 2-4, 12-16, 22-23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komatsu [U.S. Pat. 5,646,616] in view of Jelen et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,119,935].

As to claims 2-4: Komatsu discloses a host computer (cited in col. 2, line 48) comprising a process system in Fig. 3, which receives information from a sensor associated with the user (which met by an antenna 60) and an (IC card reader /writer 90) for pushing information to the shopping cart depending on the cart's current location (as shown in Fig. 3 and col. 2, lines 46-68), Komatsu fails to specify wherein the wireless linking a plurality of shopping carts through a local area network to the server, Jelen et al. teach a system which comprising a wirelessly link a plurality of shopping carts within a retail facility through a local area network to the server (58) and enable the carts to exchange information through said network, such as cart location (as shown in Fig. 1 and col. 4, lines 7-21). It would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a local area network as taught by Jelen et al. into the system of Komatsu for the purpose of a faster response, since a plurality of users (carts) are in the same facility area to be detected.

As to claims 12-16: Refer to claims 2-4 above.

As to claims 22-23 and 27: Refer to claims 2-4 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,236 Page 5

Art Unit: 2632

Remark responsive

Applicant:

There is no reference to keep track of the places that the user has been including the 1.

route and direction of travel.

Examiner:

1. Jelen is not specifically disclose a route and direction, however, Jelen disclosed a

position locator in the store (col. 4, lines 40-41), it would have to be included some information

such as direction (east, west, north or south) and route (isle or row) for the customer to know

how to get to the requested product.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 months from the

mailing date of this action.

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) do not apply in reexamination

proceedings. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply only to "an applicant" and not to parties in a

reexamination proceeding. Further, in 35 U.S.C. 305 and in 37 CFR 1.550(a), it is required that

reexamination proceedings "will be conducted with special dispatch within the Office."

Extensions of time in reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR

1.550(c). A request for extension of time must be filed on or before the day on which a response

to this action is due. The mere filing of a request will not effect any extension of time. An

extension of time will be granted only for sufficient cause, and for a reasonable time specified.

Art Unit: 2632

The filing of a timely first response to this final rejection will be construed as including a request to extend the shortened statutory period for an additional month, which will be granted even if previous extensions have been granted. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final action. See MPEP § 2265.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Son M. Tang** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 7:30a.m. to 5:00p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeffery Hofsass**, can be reached on (703) 305-4717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703)872-9314 (note: for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Son Tang

June 1, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600